1	Laura Vartain Horn (SBN 258485) KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP	
2	555 California Street, Suite 2700	
_	San Francisco, CA 94104	
3	Telephone: (415) 439-1625 laura.vartain@kirkland.com	
4	laura. vartamækirkianu.com	
5	Jessica Davidson (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) Christopher D. Cox (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	
6	KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 601 Lexington Avenue	
	New York, NY 10022	
7	Telephone: (212) 446-4800	
8	jessica.davidson@kirkland.com christopher.cox@kirkland.com	
9	Allison M. Brown (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP	
10	2005 Market Street, Suite 1000 Philadelphia, PA 19103	
11	Telephone: (215) 268-5000 alli.brown@kirkland.com	
12	Attorneys for Defendants	
13	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., RASIER, LLC, and RASIER-CA, LLC	
14		
15	UNITED OF A TEG DICTDICT COURT	
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
18	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
10	IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,	Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB (LJC)
19	PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT	DECLADATION OF CUDICTODIED V
20	LITIGATION	DECLARATION OF CHRISTOPHER V. COTTON IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS'
		ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL
21	This Document Relates to:	PERSONAL IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND INFORMATION DESIGNATED AS
22	ALL ACTIONS	CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO ECF 176
22		CONTAINED IN DEFENDANTS' REPLY IN
23		SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS CASES FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH PTO 31
24		AND SHOW-CAUSE ORDERS
25		Judge: Hon. Charles R. Breyer Courtroom: 6 – 17th Floor
26		
27		
20		
28		1
	DECLARATION OF CHRISTOPHER V. COTTON	ISO DEFENDANTS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL

9

14

12

22

I, Christopher V. Cotton, declare as follows:

- I am an attorney at Shook, Hardy & Bacon L.L.P., counsel of record for Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc., Rasier, LLC, and Rasier-CA, LLC (collectively, "Uber" or "Defendants"). I offer this Declaration in the above-captioned matter in support of Uber's Administrative Motion to Seal Personal Identifying Information and Information Designated as Confidential Pursuant to ECF 176 Contained in the Reply in Support of Uber's Motion to Dismiss Cases for Failure to Comply with PTO 31 and Show-Cause Orders (the "Sealing Motion").
- 2. The Defendant Fact Sheets and information from Plaintiff Fact Sheets which Uber seeks to seal in this Sealing Motion are designated as Confidential under the parties' Protective Order. See ECF 176; ECF 4287 at 14-49; see also Ex. 5 to Uber's Reply supporting Motion to Dismiss Cases for Failure to Comply with PTO 31 and Show-Cause Orders.
- 3. Under the Protective Order, Uber may not file confidential material such as Plaintiff or Defendant Fact Sheets "in the public record in this Action" without first filing a motion to seal. ECF 176 ¶ 12.5.
- 4. Counsel for Uber previously met and conferred with the Nachawati and Chaffin Luhana firms concerning the sealing of personally identifying information ("PII") in connection with Uber's Motion for Entry of (1) an Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiffs Who Have Submitted Non-Bona Fide Receipts Should Not Be Dismissed with Prejudice and (2) a Case Management Order Addressing Certain Plaintiffs Who Have Not Submitted Receipts. ECF 3602-1. Counsel, including counsel for Nachawati Law Group, indicated that they did not oppose sealing PII. The Court granted Uber's motion to seal. ECF 3616. The sealed information contained in the instant Sealing Motion is similar to the PII that has already been filed under seal and unopposed.
- 5. Counsel for Uber also previously made diligent efforts to meet and confer with Plaintiffs' counsel concerning the sealing of PII in connection with Uber's Motion for Entry of an Order to Show Cause Why 6 Plaintiffs Who Have Submitted Non-Bona Fide Receipts Should Not Be Dismissed with Prejudice. Those efforts are detailed in my declaration in support of Uber's motion to seal the PII in that motion. ECF 3783-1. Counsel for Uber have not received any communications from any Plaintiff's

28

counsel regarding opposition to the sealing of the material detailed in ECF 3783. The sealed information in this Sealing Motion is similar to the PII that was included in Uber's prior motion to seal.

6. On the basis provided above, the Court granted Uber's Administrative Motion to Seal Personal Identifying Information Contained in Defendants' Motion for Entry of Third Receipts Order and Accompanying Documents. ECF 4142. Some of the sealed information contained in this Sealing Motion is similar to the PII that has already been filed under seal.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: December 12, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Christopher V. Cotton

CHRISTOPHER V. COTTON (admitted *Pro Hac Vice*) ccotton@shb.com SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P. 2555 Grand Blvd. Kansas City, MO 64108

Attorney for Defendants UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., RASIER, LLC, and RASIER-CA, LLC

Telephone: (816) 474-6550